חרד ז ב יחים

	UCT 15 ZUZU		
1 2 3 4 5	LAW OFFICE OF WABBY & WABBY WALTER J. WABBY, ESQ. – SBN 86678 walterjwabby@wabbylaw.com MATTHEW D. WABBY, ESQ. – SBN 3234 matthew.wabby@wabbylaw.com 21031 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 921 Woodland Hills, California 91364-2263 Telephone: (818) 347-6230 Facsimile: (818) 347-6554	74	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA		
10			
11	ERICA JHU,) CASE NO.	
12	Plaintiff,) [Assigned to Dept]	
13	VS.) Dept]	
14 15 16	ERIC WALLACE, M.D.; VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER/SANTA PAULA HOSPITAL; RENAISSANCE IMAGING; and DOES 1 to 50) COMPLAINT FOR PROFESSIONAL) NEGLIGENCE)	
17 18	Defendants.)))	
19		-	
20	PLAINTIFF, ERICA JHU, alleges as follows:		
21			
22	1. Plaintiff, ERICA JHU is an	individual and is now, and at all times	
23	mentioned herein was, a resident of the Cou	unty of Ventura, State of California.	

- S
- 2. Defendant, ERIC WALLACE, M.D., (herein after referred to as WALLACE), is and at all times herein mentioned was, a duly licensed physician licensed by the State of California and doing business in the County of Ventura, State of California. At all times mentioned herein, WALLACE was the employee, agent or representative of Renaissance Imaging Center and, at all times mentioned herein was,



24

25

26

27

28

acting within the course and scope of such employment, agency or representation.

- 3. Defendant, VENTURA COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER/SANTA PAULA HOSPITAL, (hereinafter referred to as VCMC/SPH), is now, and at all times herein mentioned was, a business entity form unknown, with its principal place of business in the County of Ventura, State of California.
- 4. Defendant, RENAISSANCE IMAGING CENTER, (herein after referred to as RENAISSANCE), is and at all times mentioned herein was, a business entity, form unknown, duly licensed by the State of California with its principal place of business in the County of Ventura, State of California.
- 5. Does 1 through 50 are and were nurses, medical assistants and other such medical staff, duly licensed by the State of California and, at all times mentioned herein, were employed by VCMC/SPH and acting within the course and scope of such employment.
- 6. Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities of the defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues them by said fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief, alleges that, at all times relevant herein, each of the fictitiously named defendants was in some manner negligent and legally responsible for the events and happenings and for the resulting injuries alleged in this complaint. Wherever appearing in this Complaint, each and every reference to "Defendant", is intended and shall be a reference to all Defendants in this action, and each of them, including but not limited to all fictitiously named Defendants.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants named herein, fictitiously or otherwise, was at all relevant times, the agent, employee, alter ego, or representative of the remaining Defendants, and while committing the acts and omissions herein alleged, were at all times acting within the scope of such agency, employment, alter ego or representation, and that Defendants

and each of them, combined and conspired to do each of the things hereinafter alleged and are jointly and severally liable for the acts and omissions complained of herein.

- 8. On or about, June 29, 2020, Plaintiff served upon Defendants and each of them, notice of intent to sue Defendants for professional medical malpractice pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 364.
- 9. Plaintiff filed a Governmental Claim form with the County of Ventura on July 20, 2020 that expired by operation of law on August 31, 2020.
- 10. On or about July 13, 2019, plaintiff was involved and injured in an automobile accident. As a result of that accident, plaintiff underwent surgical repair of her lumbar spine for a fractured vertebra on September 27, 2019 at VCMC/SPH. Said surgery was performed by WALLACE.
- 11. Defendants, and each of them, inclusive, either during or after performing said lumbar surgery permitted a foreign object to remain inside of plaintiff's body, near her spinal cord. Plaintiff was unaware that the foreign body was left inside her at the time.
- 12. On or around January of 2020, Plaintiff lost all feeling and movement in her right leg and went in to VCMC/SPH for an exam. An MRI was requested and performed on January 6, 2020. Plaintiff was informed on February 6, 2020 that a foreign object that was left in her back from the September 27, 2019 surgery and that a second surgery was required to remove it. Said MRI was the first notice plaintiff had that the Defendants' conduct, and each of them, fell below the standard of care in the community.
- 13. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty of care to plaintiff to ensure the competence of their staff physicians, nurses and other treating medical practitioners and would use reasonable care in selecting said staff physicians and other medical practitioners as well as a duty to exercise due care in the diagnosis, care and treatment of Plaintiff.
 - 14. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them, inclusive,

failed to possess and exercise in both diagnosis, and treatment that reasonable degree of knowledge and skill that is ordinarily possessed and exercised by other nurses, physicians, surgeons and other medical providers in the same or similar circumstances in that among other things, they failed to properly and accurately assess Plaintiff's medical condition and failed to properly perform certain standard medical procedures to prevent a foreign object to remain in a patient's body after surgery was performed, and as a direct result thereof, they breached the duties of care owed to their patient, the Plaintiff herein.

- 15. As a direct and proximate cause of the breach of the standard of care by the Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff did not receive proper and competent medical attention and treatment and was injured and damaged in an amount that will be established according to proof.
- 16. As a direct and proximate caused of the Defendants, and each of them breaching the standard of care in the community with respect to their care and treatment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff was caused to undergo a second surgery on May 20, 2020 and thereby incurred medical expenses in an amount according to proof.
- 17. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants and each of them breaching the standard of care in the community with respect to their care and treatment of Plaintiff, plaintiff incurred and will continue to incur a loss of income in an amount according to proof.
- 18. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants and each of them breaching the standard of care in the community with respect to their care and treatment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained general damages consisting of fear, anxiety, mental and emotional distress, pain and discomfort and will continue to sustain said general damages into the future in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for damages as follows:

General damages according to proof;

1	2.	Special damages for medical expenses and lost income according to	
2		proof;	
3	3.	Interest according to law;	
4	4.	Costs of suit incurred;	
5	5.	Any other relief the Court deems just and proper.	
6			
7	Dated: Octo	ber 13, 2020 LAW OFFICE OF WABBY & WABBY	
8		When for wholis	
9		By: L'Vill (L' Walie) - WALTER J. WABBY, ESQ.	
10		Attorney for Plaintiff	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
40 i			